Intonation processing by Chinese speakers in imitation paradigms

Wenwei Xu¹, Yiya Chen^{1, 2} ¹Leiden University Centre for Linguistics (LUCL) ²Leiden Institute for Brain and Cognition (LIBC) w.xu@hum.leidenuniv.nl, <u>yiya.chen@hum.leidenuniv.nl</u>

In this study, we report data elicited with an imitation task on the processing of intonation contours by Chinese speakers. Two variant paradigms of this task have been used in the literature to investigate the imitation of (non-)native intonational contrasts. The immediate paradigm elicits imitation immediately after the stimuli are presented [e.g., 1, 2, 3]. In contrast, the delayed paradigm requires speakers to imitate after a 2-3 seconds' delay [4, 5]. The delayed paradigm has been argued to reflect phonological processing rather than phonetic (echoic) memory based on Baddeley's working memory model [6, 7, 8]. A direct comparison between the two paradigms, however, is not available in the literature.

20 Standard Chinese speakers with Mandarin and Wu dialectal backgrounds participated in the study and imitated pseudo sentences with nine synthesized intonation contours akin to typical intonation events in West Germanic languages. In the first block, they were asked to provide an immediate response, and in the second, a delayed response. Chinese participants were predicted to show more native language interference in the delayed block due to phonological processing [e.g., 5, 9, 10].

Fine-grained analyses of imitated F0 contours were performed using Generalized Additive Mixed Models (GAMMs). The results showed that participants could generally distinguish between all contours within each block. Moreover, significant differences in contour shape were found between immediate vs. delayed imitations for most of the contours. Visualization of the differences between the two variant paradigms suggest that for some contours, deviations in the delayed block are indeed more aligned with Standard Chinese intonation patterns; no patterns contradictory to the predictions were detectable. Jointly, our results lend evidence for phonological processing in delayed imitations.

References

- [1] Pierrehumbert, J. B., & Steele, S. A. (1989). Categories of tonal alignment in English. *Phonetica*, *46*(4), 181–196. <u>http://doi.org/10.1159/000261842</u>
- [2] Dilley, L. C., & Heffner, C. C. (2013). The role of f0 alignment in distinguishing intonation categories: Evidence from American English. *Journal of Speech Sciences*, *3*(1), 3–67. http://doi.org/10.20396/joss.v3i1.15039
- [3] Cole, J., Steffman, J., Shattuck-Hufnagel, S., & Tilsen, S. (2023). Hierarchical distinctions in the production and perception of nuclear tunes in American English. *Laboratory Phonology*, *14*(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.16995/labphon.9437</u>
- [4] Zahner-Ritter, K., Einfeldt, M., Wochner, D., James, A., Dehé, N., & Braun, B. (2022). Three kinds of rising-falling contours in German wh-questions: Evidence from form and function. *Frontiers and Communication*, 7. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomm.2022.838955</u>
- [5] Zahner-Ritter, K., Zhao, T., Einfeldt, M., & Braun, B. (2022). How experience with tone in the native language affects the L2 acquisition of pitch accents. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*, 903879.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.903879</u>
- [6] Baddeley, A. D. (1986). Working Memory. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [7] Baddeley A. D. (2003). Working memory and language: an overview. Journal of communication disorders, 36(3), 189–208. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9924(03)00019-4</u>
- [8] Baddeley, A. D., & Hitch, G. J. (1974). Working Memory. In G. A. Bower (Ed.), Recent Advances in Learning and Motivation (Vol. 8, pp. 47-89). New York: Academic Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-7421(08)60452-1</u>
- [9] Braun, B., Kochanski, G., Grabe, E., & Rosner, B. S. (2006). Evidence for attractors in English intonation. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 119(6), 4006–4015. <u>http://doi.org/10.1121/1.2195267</u>
- [10] Laméris, T. J., Li, K. K., & Post, B. (2023). Phonetic and Phono-Lexical Accuracy of Non-Native Tone Production by English-L1 and Mandarin-L1 Speakers. *Language and Speech*, 66(4), 974-1006. https://doi.org/10.1177/00238309221143719